INTERSTATE COMMISSION ON THE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN Rockville, Maryland

> SINGLE AUDIT REPORT September 30, 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORTS	-
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance and Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Award in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	2
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	
NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	8
SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	10



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Commissioners Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin Rockville, Maryland

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the statement of net position, and the related statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position, and cash flows of the Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin (the Commission) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2015, which collectively comprise the Commission's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 16, 2016.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Commission's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Commission's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the Commission's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

Calverton, Maryland March 16, 2016



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE AND SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARD IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

The Board of Commissioners Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin Rockville, Maryland

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin's (the Commission) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2015. The Commission's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Commission's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Commission's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Commission's compliance.



Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the Commission complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2015.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Commission is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Commission's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Commission's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in *internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance control over compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the statement of net position, and the related statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position, and cash flows of the Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin (the Commission) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated March 16, 2016, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Commission's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

Calverton, Maryland March 16, 2016

INTERSTATE COMMISION ON THE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ending September 30, 2015

FEDERAL AGENCY	FEDERAL CFDA NUMBER	FEDERAL	PASS THROUGH ENTITY	ASSISTANCE ID NUMBER	PROGRAM OR AWARD AMOUNT(2)	TOTAL AWARDS EXPENDED(3)	EXPENDED BY CFDA #
EPA	66.419	Water Pollution Control	N/A	I-98339412	\$ 786,068	\$ 786,068	
		WV Algae Sampling III	WVDEP	N/A	56,390	22,528	
		WV Algae Sampling IV	WVDEP	N/A	63,852	38,852	\$ 847,448
EPA	66.466	Chesapeake Bay Program	N/A	CB-93605701	966,542	133,579	133,579
EPA	66.454	VA Fairview Beach (1)	VADEQ	15888	22,000	22,000	22,000
EPA	66.468	Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WVDEP/ WVHHR	G140594	6,057	6,057	6,057
NOAA	11.454	Professsional development workshop	NOAA	12664	3,384	2,979	2,979
	-			TOTALS	\$ 1,904,293	\$ 1,012,063	\$ 1,012,063

AGENCY & PASS-THROUGH ENTITY CODES:

(1) Fixed fee contract

(2)Total Grant Amount, may cover periods outside of fiscal year.

VADEQ VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

WVDEP WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION accourt

WVHHR WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN RESOURCES

NOAA NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

N/A NOT APPLICABLE

EPA

(3)Fiscal year expenditure prepared under generally accepted

accounting principles applied to governmental agencies.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

INTERSTATE COMMISION ON THE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Reporting Entity

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal award programs of the Interstate Commission on the Potomac River Basin (the Commission) for the year ended September 30, 2015.

Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards has been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

INTERSTATE COMMISION ON THE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

I. <u>Summary of Independent Auditors' Results</u>

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness(es) identified?		Yes	X	No			
Significant deficiency(ies) identified that is not considered to be a material weakness.		Yes	_ <u>x</u>	None reported			
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?		Yes	X	No			
Federal Awards Internal control over major programs:							
Material weakness(es) identified?		Yes	X	No			
Significant deficiency(ies) identified that is not considered to be a material weakness.		Yes	<u> </u>	None reported			
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs: Unqualified							
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of <i>Circular A-133</i> ?		Yes	_X	No			
Identification of Major Programs							
Name of Federal Program or Cluster	CFDA Number(s)						
Water Pollution Control		66.419					
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs		<u>\$ 300,000</u>					
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	Х	Yes		No			

INTERSTATE COMMISION ON THE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

II. Financial Statement Findings

None

III. Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

None

INTERSTATE COMMISION ON THE POTOMAC RIVER BASIN SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

Prior Year Findings

None Reported